#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION **PCT856** See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 09.01.2004 11.01.2005 PCT/JP2005/000195 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant KEIO UNIVERSITY This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCI/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISAJP Authorized officer

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With filed,	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed tion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
1		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	_	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or
3.	Ц	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence fishing and or tube(s) retaining into the furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Λdd	litional comments:
		·
1		
1		
1		
		·

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:
the entire international application
claims Nos. 61, 70
because:
the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 61, 70 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
Claims 61 and 70 pertain to remote diagnosis methods for the pigmentary deposition other than the skin diseases, and to screening methods for medicinal agents using such diagnosis methods and thus they correspond to diagnosis methods.
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos.  are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
are so inadequately supported
the claims, or said claims Nos.  by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 61, 70
the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrati Instructions in that:
the written form has not been furnished
does not comply with the standard
the computer readable form has not been furnished
does not comply with the standard
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
See Supplemental Box for further details.

Box	x No. IV Luck of unity of invention
1.	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
	paid additional fees
	paid additional fees under protest
	not paid additional fees
2.	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3.	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
	complied with
	not complied with for the following reasons:
	The inventions of claims 1-60, 68 and 69 pertain to devices, systems, user terminals and programs comprising "camera devices with dermoscopes."  The inventions of claims 62-67 pertain to diagnosis programs for the skin image.
	(
-	
4	4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
	all parts
1	the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-60, 62-69

INTERNATION					JP2005/00019	
ox No. V Reasoned statemer citations and expla	nt under Rule 4	3bis.1(a)(	i) with regard to nov statement	elty, inventive step or in	dustrial applicability;	
Statement						
Novelty (N)	Claims ]	-60.	62-69			YES
•	Claims					NO
40	_					YES
Inventive step (IS)			62-69			NO
	Claims					
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims		62-69			YES NO
	Claims					NO
Citations and explanations:						
Document 1: JP 2003- (Family: none)				•		
None of the document nor are they obvious t	ts cited in to a party s	the ISR killed i	describes the n the art.	inventions of cla	ims 1-60 and 62-6	9;
•						
	-					
				•		
					•	

x No. VI	Certain documents	s citea			
Certair	n published documents (Rul	e 43bis.1 and 7	0.10)		
	Application No. Patent No.		Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid clain (day/month/year)
,	JP 2005-58398	B A	10.03.2005	11.08.2003	
	[E, A]				
					•
					•
Non-	written disclosures (Rule 43	bis.1 and 70.9)		D	te of written disclosure
Kind of non-written disclosure		Date of non-written (day/month/ye	disclosure referrir	referring to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)	
		,			
		•			
		,			